Characterization of Arabidopsis NEET Reveals an Ancient Role for NEET Proteins in Iron Metabolism
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The NEET family is a newly discovered group of proteins involved in a diverse array of biological processes, including autophagy, apoptosis, aging, diabetes, and reactive oxygen homeostasis. They form a novel structure, the NEET fold, in which two protomers intertwine to form a two-domain motif, a cap, and a unique redox-active labile 2Fe-2S cluster binding domain. To accelerate the functional study of NEET proteins, as well as to examine whether they have an evolutionarily conserved role, we identified and characterized a plant NEET protein. Here, we show that the Arabidopsis thaliana At5g51720 protein (At-NEET) displays biochemical, structural, and biophysical characteristics of a NEET protein. Phenotypic characterization of At-NEET revealed a key role for this protein in plant development, senescence, reactive oxygen homeostasis, and Fe metabolism. A role in Fe metabolism was further supported by biochemical and cell biology studies of At-NEET in plant and mammalian cells, as well as mutational analysis of its cluster binding domain. Our findings support the hypothesis that NEET proteins have an ancient role in cells associated with Fe metabolism.

INTRODUCTION

The newly discovered NEET family class of proteins includes the human mitoNEET and Miner1 (Wiley et al., 2007a, 2007b). NEET proteins contain a unique homodimeric fold, the NEET fold, in which two protomers intertwine to form a two-domain structure, a β-cap, and a cluster binding domain (Hou et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2007; Paddock et al., 2007; Conlan et al., 2009a, 2009b, 2009c). Both parts of the homodimer harbor a redox-active 2Fe-2S cluster, each coordinated by 3 Cys and 1 His, defining a new class of 2Fe-2S proteins (Tirrell et al., 2009; Dicus et al., 2011). Miner1, a protein essential for health and longevity, was recently identified as a mitochondrial integrity maintainer as well as a carrier of a mutation that causes Wolfram Syndrome 2, an autosomal recessive mitochondria-mediated disorder (Amr et al., 2007; Conlan et al., 2009b; Chen et al., 2010). Knockout of Miner1 in mice leads to premature aging, severe neurodegeneration, blindness, and muscle atrophy (Chen et al., 2009).

To facilitate the functional study of NEET proteins and to examine whether they have evolutionarily conserved functions, we identified and characterized a plant NEET protein. Here, we show that the Arabidopsis thaliana At5g51720 protein displays biochemical, biophysical, and structural characteristics common to NEET proteins, such as mitoNEET and Miner1. In contrast with human NEET proteins, At5g51720 does not appear to belong to a gene family and is localized to chloroplasts as well as mitochondria. At5g51720 was therefore named At-NEET. Phenotypic characterization using knockdown and RNA interference (RNAi) lines revealed a key role for At-NEET in plant development, senescence, ROS homeostasis, and Fe metabolism. Its proposed role in Fe metabolism is further supported by biochemical and cell biology studies of At-NEET in plant and mammalian cells as well as mutational analysis of the At-NEET cluster binding domain.

RESULTS

The Arabidopsis At5g51720 Protein Is a NEET Protein

Arabidopsis contains a single copy gene (At5g51720) that shows 50 and 57% similarity to mitoNEET and Miner1, respectively
A higher level of sequence identity to mitoNEET and Miner1 is found in the putative Fe-S binding domain of At5g51720 (75 and 88%, respectively; red box in Figure 1A). Similar to mitoNEET and Miner1, At5g51720 appears to contain a single transmembrane domain (blue box, Figure 1A).

To determine whether At5g51720 is a NEET protein, its biophysical properties were compared with those of mitoNEET and Miner1. For this purpose, the truncated soluble domain of At5g51720, from amino acid 39 to amino acid 108, was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography. The purified protein was red in color with absorption peaks in the visible range at 458 and 530 nm (solid traces, Figure 1B), which are characteristic of the ligand-to-charge transfer bands of the 2Fe-2S centers in human mitoNEET (Paddock et al., 2007; Wiley et al., 2007b; Conlan et al., 2009b, 2009c). Upon addition of the reducing agent dithionite, the spectrum changed with peaks near 440 and 550 nm, nearly identical to that of the reduced mitoNEET (dotted traces, Figure 1B). These results show the presence of a redox active center and support the existence in At5g51720 of a 2Fe-2S center with a similar protein environment to that found in mitoNEET. The redox potential (E<sub>m</sub>) of At5g51720 was measured with potentiometric redox titrations (Zuris et al., 2010). The value for E<sub>m</sub> at pH 7.0 was ~50 mV lower than that of human mitoNEET (Figure 1C) but differs greatly from most ferredoxin (Fd) and Rieske 2Fe-2S centers (Meyer, 2008). At5g51720’s E<sub>m</sub> was found to be pH dependent, decreasing above pH 7.0 to 54 mV/pH, suggesting, as is the case for human mitoNEET, that its reduction is proton coupled (see Supplemental Figure 1 online).

**Structural Characterization of At-NEET**

To determine the structure of At-NEET, we crystallized the purified At5g51720 protein (amino acids 39 to 108) and solved its structure at 1.75 Å resolution. For the molecular replacement analysis, we used the structure of human mitoNEET (pdb ID code 2QH7) (Paddock et al., 2007).

Figure 2 compares the structures of At5g51720 (pdb ID code 3S2Q, left column, green), Miner1 (pdb ID code 3FNV, middle column, cyan), and mitoNEET (pdb ID code 2QH7, right column, magenta). As shown at the top panel of Figure 2, the At-NEET protein has a homodimeric structure similar to that of its human counterparts. Similar to Miner1 and mitoNEET, the plant protein contains a typical NEET fold having a strand-swapped β-cap domain and a cluster binding domain (Paddock et al., 2007). The
chains. Although At-NEET exhibits a slightly higher similarity to Hs-Miner1 and Mitochondria.

At-NEET Is Localized to Chloroplasts and Mitochondria

To determine the subcellular localization of the native At-NEET protein, we fused its C terminus in frame with green fluorescent protein (GFP) and expressed it as a stable transgene in transgenic Arabidopsis plants using the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 3SS promoter (Luhua et al., 2008). N-terminal GFP fusions were not generated due to the existence of a transmembrane domain at the N-terminal region of At-NEET. Homozygous lines with low, moderate, or high expression levels were generated, and their leaf and root cells imaged using a confocal microscope. As shown in Figure 3, the expressed At-NEET-GFP fusion protein was localized to chloroplasts, proplastids, and mitochondria. To examine whether human mitoNEET would have a similar localization when expressed in transgenic plants, we used the same cloning strategy to express the mitoNEET protein in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. As shown in Figure 4, the full-length human mitoNEET-GFP fusion protein was primarily localized to mitochondria with no detectable levels in chloroplasts.

To examine the localization of At-NEET further, we raised polyclonal antibodies against its truncated form (amino acids 39 to 108) and used these antibodies to detect At-NEET in extracts from Arabidopsis and pea (Pisum sativum cv Dan). As shown in Figure 5, a protein reacting with the At-NEET antibody was detected in whole-cell extracts of Arabidopsis and pea leaves as well as in protein extracts obtained from isolated intact chloroplasts of these plants. To test whether a plant NEET protein could also be detected in mitochondria, we isolated intact mitochondria from pea plants and subjected their extracts to protein blots. As shown in Figure 5, At-NEET protein was detected in mitochondria. Interestingly, the At-NEET antibody reacted with a protein corresponding in molecular mass to the full-length At-NEET protein (15 kD) as well as with a protein corresponding in molecular mass to the truncated form of the protein (possibly missing the membrane anchor motif; 10 kD), in total extracts and in mitochondria, but not in chloroplasts. This observation could suggest that At-NEET is subjected in cells to posttranslational processing or that At-NEET is subjected to some level of proteolytic processing/degradation following cell disruption during sample preparation. When protein extracts were directly prepared under the denaturing conditions of the Laemmli sample buffer (Laemmli, 1970), only the full-length protein was detected (Figure 5C), supporting the latter option.

Knockdown and RNAi Mutants of At-NEET Show Late Bolting and Early Senescence Phenotypes

To study the function of At-NEET in Arabidopsis, we isolated two knockdown mutants (SALK_040013 and SALK_040019) and generated five homozygous RNAi lines with suppressed
Figure 3. Localization of At-NEET in 3-Week-Old Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants with Low Expression Level of the At-NEET Protein Fused in Frame to GFP (C-Terminal Fusion).

(A) 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole imaging showing the DNA of leaf cells.
(B) GFP imaging of leaf cells.
(C) Autofluorescence of chlorophyll (chloroplasts) of leaf cells.
(D) Bright field of leaf cells.
(E) GFP imaging of root cells.
(F) Mitotracker imaging showing the mitochondria of root cells.
(G) Merged image of (E) and (F).
(H) Bright field of root cells.

Images were taken using a confocal microscope and are representative of images obtained from six independent transgenic plants with low expression level of the fusion protein. All plants showed localization of the fusion protein to chloroplasts, proplastids, and mitochondria.
Figure 4. Localization of Human mitoNEET in 3-Week-Old Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants with Low Expression Level of the Human mitoNEET Protein Fused in Frame to GFP (C-Terminal Fusion).

(A) 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole imaging (DNA) of leaf cells.
(B) GFP imaging of leaf cells.
(C) Autofluorescence of chlorophyll (chloroplasts) of leaf cells.
(D) Bright field of leaf cells.
(E) GFP imaging of root cells.
(F) Mitotracker imaging (mitochondria) of root cells.
(G) Merged image of (E) and (F).
(H) Bright field of root cells.

Images were taken using a confocal microscope and are representative of images obtained from six independent transgenic plants with low expression level of the fusion protein. All plants showed localization of the fusion protein to mitochondria.
expression of At-NEET (Figure 5D). A complete knockout for At-NEET could not be found in any of the publicly available mutant collections, suggesting that it might be an essential protein. As shown in Figures 6A and 6B and Supplemental Figure 2 online, the knockdown and RNAi lines demonstrated a late bolting phenotype. When wild-type and At-NEET knockdown or RNAi lines were allowed to senesce under controlled growth conditions, it was found that the knockdown and RNAi lines senesced earlier (Figures 6C and 6D). Interestingly, mice lacking Miner1 demonstrated a significantly shorter life span (Chen et al., 2009). The results shown in Figure 6 suggest that suppression of NEET expression has a significant effect on plant development.

Because late bolting and early senescence are sometimes linked to an abiotic stress resistance phenotype (Mittler and Rizhsky, 2000; Luhua et al., 2008), we tested the tolerance of At-NEET knockdown and RNAi lines to different abiotic stresses, including heat, cold, osmotic, salinity, and oxidative stresses (Luhua et al., 2008). As shown in Supplemental Figure 3 online, the knockdown and RNAi lines were significantly more tolerant to osmotic and salinity stresses. A combination of early senescence, late bolting, and increased tolerance to abiotic stress is sometimes linked to impaired metabolic homeostasis in plants (Mittler and Rizhsky, 2000; Luhua et al., 2008). It is therefore possible that suppressed expression of At-NEET induces a metabolic imbalance in plants.

Cluster Transfer from At-NEET (Donor) to Apo-Ferredoxin (Acceptor)

One of the suggested functions of NEET proteins in different biological systems involves [2Fe-2S] cluster transfer (Paddock et al., 2007; Zuris et al., 2011); we therefore assessed whether such transfer could occur between At-NEET and pea apoferredoxin (a chloroplastic protein). The apo form of ferredoxin (afD), has classically served as a [2Fe-2S] cluster acceptor protein in different biochemical studies (Wu et al., 2002; Wu and Cowan, 2003; Chandramouli and Johnson, 2006). We therefore used At-NEET as a potential [2Fe-2S] cluster donor and afD as a potential [2Fe-2S] cluster acceptor (Figure 7) to test the hypothesis that NEET could act as an Fe-S transfer protein. The distinct UV-Vis spectra and electrophoretic separation of NEET and Fd allow for the analysis of cluster transfer by monitoring the time-dependent change in UV-Vis absorption spectra (Figure 7A) or the visible chromophore change in proteins separated by native-PAGE (Figure 7B). A reduction in 458-nm absorption peak (characteristic of At-NEET) and a concomitant appearance of a 423-nm peak (characteristic of holo-Fd) was observed, indicating an interprotein transfer of the [2Fe-2S] cluster (Figure 7A).

The cluster transfer was corroborated by native gel electrophoresis (Figure 7B, top panel), in which the holo-NEET’s red band intensity decreases with time of incubation with afD, whereas the latter is concomitantly converted to the red-colored Fd band. These changes in red-colored band positions occur while the protein levels of NEET and afD/Fd remained unchanged (Figure 7B, bottom panel).

At-NEET as an Fe-S/Fe Donor in Permeabilized Cells

The demonstration that At-NEET is capable of transferring its [2Fe-2S] in vitro led us to test whether transfer of labile Fe-S/Fe from At-NEET could be demonstrated in situ in the cellular environment. Because At-NEET appears to be similar to human Miner1 and mitoNEET, and it localizes to plant mitochondria (Figures 3 and 5), we addressed this question in an established heterologous assay system (Petrat et al., 2002; Zuris et al., 2011), in which the Fe donor (At-NEET) was added to gently permeabilized HEK293 cells that were double labeled with the fluorescent Fe sensor calcein-green (CALG), as tracer for residual cytosolic Fe, and the mitochondrial Fe sensor rhodamine B-[(1,10- phenanthrolin-5-yl) aminocarbonyl] benzyl ester (RPA), as a measure of mitochondrial Fe levels. We then followed changes in relative fluorescence in response to the addition of At-NEET to cells. As shown in Figure 8, quenching of RPA by At-NEET, an indication of Fe-S/Fe transfer into mitochondria,
rapidly occurred in the absence of externally added reductants (Figure 8A) and was directly proportional to the added At-NEET’s concentration (Figure 8B). Moreover, the addition of a reducing agent had no effect on the RPA fluorescence quenching rate (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). The findings shown in Figures 7 and 8 support a hypothesis that At-NEET is involved in Fe-S/Fe management in cells.

Involvement of NEET in Fe Metabolism and ROS Homeostasis in Plants

To test further whether At-NEET is involved in Fe transfer/management in cells, we grew wild-type and At-NEET knockdown seedlings on agar plates containing different concentrations of Fe. As shown in Figure 9, the growth of wild-type and NEET knockdown seedlings was similar on agar plates containing control levels of Fe (100%). By contrast, the growth of At-NEET knockdown seedlings was suppressed on plates with decreased Fe (0%). Moreover, while the growth of wild-type seedlings was suppressed on plates containing high concentrations of Fe (300 or 400%), the growth of NEET knockdown seedlings was not. The finding that growth of NEET knockdown seedlings is insensitive to high Fe levels, but sensitive to low levels of Fe, strongly suggests that NEET is involved in Fe transfer, distribution, and/or management in plant cells.

Because Fe metabolism is intimately linked with ROS homeostasis and photosynthesis in cells (Asada, 2006; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007), we measured the level of ROS using dichlorodihydrofluorescein acetate (Luhua et al., 2008) in wild-type and At-NEET knockdown or RNAi seedlings. As shown in Figure 10A, plants with reduced levels of NEET accumulated higher levels of ROS compared with the wild type when grown under controlled growth conditions. Whereas the addition of paraquat, a superoxide-generating agent, to wild-type seedlings...
resulted in enhanced accumulation of ROS, a similar increase was not observed in seedlings with reduced levels of At-NEET (Figure 10A), suggesting that the ROS levels measured under controlled growth conditions in seedlings with suppressed levels of NEET are already very high and could not be increased any further by the addition of an oxidizing agent.

Alterations in Fe metabolism could cause changes in the steady state level of certain proteins that contain Fe in cells. To examine the relative level of certain Fe-containing proteins in wild-type and At-NEET mutants, we performed protein blots to examine the relative level of certain proteins that contain Fe in cells. To study the effect of the H89C mutation on the Fe-S/Fe transfer properties of At-NEET (Figures 7 and 8), native-PAGE (Figure 11C) and permeabilized HEK293 cells (Figure 11D) were used to compare the Fe-S/Fe transfer properties of wild-type At-NEET with that of the H89C mutant. Both assays indicated that compared with the wild-type At-NEET protein, the H89C mutant, with its more stable FeS cluster (Figure 11B), is impaired in transferring its Fe-S/Fe to an aFd acceptor protein (Figure 11B). His-89 could therefore play a key role in the Fe-S/Fe transfer process of At-NEET.

DISCUSSION

NEET proteins are involved in a large array of biological processes in mammalian cells (Colca et al., 2004; Amr et al., 2007; Wiley et al., 2007a, 2007b; Chen et al., 2009, 2010; Chang et al., 2010). Nevertheless, their mode of function is largely unknown. The unique 3Cys-1His cluster binding geometry of NEET
proteins, which makes their 2Fe-2S cluster labile, could indicate a role for NEET proteins in Fe-S/Fe transfer within cells (Paddock et al., 2007; Zuris et al., 2011). Such a function could explain their wide-ranging activity because Fe is linked to many different biological processes (Lill, 2009). Alternatively, a role for NEET proteins in Fe metabolism/homeostasis could represent an ancient function of these proteins that is conserved between different organisms and is distinguished from their other functions. Our identification and function-structure characterization of a plant NEET protein (At-NEET, At5g51720) indicates that NEET proteins are conserved between plants and mammals. Our demonstration that the plant NEET protein is capable of transferring its Fe-S/Fe cluster to an acceptor protein (Figure 7), or to mitochondria in permeabilized cells (Figure 8), coupled with our genetic analysis showing altered sensitivity to Fe (Figure 9), enhanced accumulation of ROS (Figure 10A), altered accumulation of an Fe-containing enzyme (catalase; Figure 10B), enhanced accumulation of Fe (Figure 10C), and a late-greening phenotype (Figure 10D) in Arabidopsis NEET mutants strongly suggest a role for plant NEET proteins in Fe homeostasis/metabolism. These findings support a hypothesis that NEET proteins have an ancient role in cells associated with Fe homeostasis/metabolism.

Despite the overall low sequence similarity between At-NEET and the human mitoNEET or Miner1, our structural analysis of At-NEET clearly demonstrates that the three proteins have a common NEET structure (Figure 2). This finding is supported by our mutational analysis that demonstrates a functional role for At-NEET His-89 in the unique 3Cys-1His geometry of NEET proteins (Figure 11). Our structural analysis therefore confirms that At-NEET is a member of the NEET family along with the mammalian proteins. The higher structural similarity observed between At-NEET and Hs-Miner1 could suggest that, similar to Hs-Miner1, At-NEET is involved in processes such as cell death, ROS accumulation, and aging. Indeed, mutants deficient in At-NEET accumulate high levels of ROS and have a shorter life span compared with wild-type plants (Figures 6C, 6D, and 10A). In addition, At-NEET expression is enhanced in response to chloroplastic accumulation of singlet oxygen (op den Camp

Figure 8. Transfer of Labile Fe/FeS from At-NEET to Mitochondria in Permeabilized HEK293 Cells.
HEK293 cells labeled with RPA (for mitochondria) and CALG (for cytosol) were permeabilized and used for tracing changes in fluorescence following addition of At-NEET in a K-succinate medium without added reducing agents.
(A) A time-lapse series of fluorescence imaging recorded every 2 min. Zero time point at the top left corner with the highest fluorescence imaging (pseudocolor images). After 6 min, 25 µM At-NEET was added (top arrow), and 6 µM FHQ (bottom arrow) was added after 24 min.
(B) The fluorescence traces (relative units [r.u.]) represent a time series taken for cells exposed to different concentrations of At-NEET (no At-NEET added [yellow], 25 µM [red], 50 µM [blue], and 100 µM [green]). All data are represented as mean ± se (n = 12).

Figure 9. Altered Sensitivity to Fe Levels in the Growth Media of Arabidopsis Seedlings with Suppressed Expression of NEET.
(A) Measurements of rosette diameter in wild-type (WT) and NEET knockout plants. Seedlings were grown on agar plates with different concentrations of Fe under controlled growth conditions.
(B) Photograph of 12-d-old seedlings of the wild type and two independent NEET knockdowns. Seedlings were grown on agar plates with different concentrations of Fe under controlled growth conditions.
et al., 2003), and mutants deficient in At-NEET expression have higher tolerance of some abiotic stresses (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). One possible explanation for these combined phenotypes could be that NEET is involved in the Fe-dependent synthesis of heme required for catalase biosynthesis. In the absence of sufficient NEET protein, catalase levels would decrease and ROS accumulate (Figure 10). The resulting accumulation of ROS in mutants with suppressed NEET in turn could cause these plants to be more tolerant to abiotic stresses and to senesce earlier (Mittler et al., 2004; Luhua et al., 2008). Enhanced ROS accumulation in At-NEET mutants (Figure 10A) could also be a result of the enhanced accumulation of Fe in these plants (Figure 10C; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007). Although we could not obtain plants with complete suppression of At-NEET, our analysis of NEET knockdown and RNAi lines demonstrated a role for this protein in plant development, ROS and Fe homeostasis, abiotic stress tolerance, and senescence (Figures 6, 9, and 10; see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Such a broad phenotype could indicate involvement of At-NEET in key biological processes required for cellular homeostasis (Mittler and Rizhsky, 2000; Luhua et al., 2008), which could include involvement of NEET in the cellular regulation of Fe metabolism and/or distribution. Indeed, mutants with suppressed expression of At-NEET displayed reduced growth on low Fe as well as insensitivity to growth in the presence of high levels of Fe (Figure 9), suggesting that they are impaired in Fe uptake and/or distribution. The subcellular localization of NEET to chloroplasts and mitochondria (Figures 3 and 5) may be central to the proposed role of this protein in Fe or Fe-S/Fe transfer. Chloroplasts and mitochondria contain many Fe cluster proteins and require

**Figure 10.** ROS and Fe Accumulation, Suppression of Catalase, and Late Greening Phenotypes in Arabidopsis Seedlings with Suppressed Expression of NEET.

(A) ROS accumulation in seedlings with wild-type (WT) or suppressed expression of NEET. ROS levels were measured in seedlings grown under controlled conditions or incubated with 0.1 µM of the ROS-generating compound paraquat.

(B) Protein blot analyses of different Fe- and ROS-associated proteins in seedlings with wild-type or suppressed expression of NEET.

(C) Fe content of 2-week-old plants with wild-type or suppressed expression of NEET. Plants grown under controlled conditions and fertilized with 1x or 100x Fe.

(D) Time course of greening in etiolated seedlings with wild-type or suppressed expression of NEET.
a supply of Fe from the cytosol for the biogenesis of different Fe cluster proteins. The finding that mutants deficient in NEET display late greening (Figure 10D) and early senescence that is accompanied by loss of chlorophyll (Figure 6) supports such a role. The finding that NEET mutants accumulated more Fe (Figure 10C) but could survive on higher levels of Fe in their growth medium (Figure 9) could further indicate a role for NEET in the distribution of Fe between the cytosol, vacuole, or apoplastic and different organelles, such as mitochondria, chloroplast, or peroxisomes. Thus, although the total level of Fe in cells with suppressed At-NEET could be high (Figure 10C), the availability of this Fe for processes such as chloroplast biogenesis (Figure 10D) or catalase biosynthesis (Figure 10B) could be low.

The possible involvement of NEET in Fe metabolism in plants was further supported by biophysical and biochemical studies demonstrating the capability of the At-NEET protein to donate its Fe-S/Fe to acceptor proteins in vitro and in situ, a capability that was dependent upon the lability of its cluster (Figures 7, 8, and 11). Interestingly, At-NEET, which is localized to chloroplasts and mitochondria (Figure 5), was capable of donating its Fe-S/Fe to mammalian mitochondria, suggesting that this process is a conserved function of NEET proteins between plants and mammals (Figure 8; Zuris et al., 2011).

Assembly of Fe-S clusters in plants was recently divided into three distinct pathways: (1) the chloroplastic S mobilization pathway, (2) the mitochondrial Fe-S pathway, and (3) the cytosolic Fe-S cluster assembly pathway (Balk and Pilon, 2011). All pathways were divided into a first step in which S and Fe are combined on a scaffold protein and a second step in which the Fe-S cluster is transferred to a target protein pathway, possibly with the involvement of a carrier protein (Balk and Pilon, 2011). At-NEET, expressed in almost all different plant tissues and developmental stages (http://www.Arabidopsis.org/), might be a yet unidentified Fe-S carrier protein involved in these assembly pathways.

Our findings support an ancient role for NEET proteins in Fe metabolism in cells. Such a role could provide an insight into the
function of NEET proteins in human diseases, such as Wolfram Syndrome 2 and diabetes (Colca et al., 2004; Amr et al., 2007; Conlan et al., 2009b; Chen et al., 2010). Due to the similarity in function and structure between the plant and mammalian NEET proteins, it would be interesting to find out whether the plant system could be used as an experimental tool to study different drugs or mutants associated with NEET proteins and human pathology.

METHODS

All materials used in this work were from best available commercial grade. The acetoxyethyl ester of CALG was obtained from Molecular Probes. The mitochondrial metal sensor RPA and its phenanthroline-lacking analog RPC were obtained as described elsewhere (Petrat et al., 2002; Rauen et al., 2003).

Expression and Purification of At-NEET Proteins

At-NEET cDNA was amplified by PCR and subcloned into a modified pET28-(a)-vector (Novagen) as previously described (Conlan et al., 2009c). The H89C mutant of At-NEET was generated by site-directed mutagenesis as previously described (Conlan et al., 2011). The recombinant wild-type and mutant proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21-RIL grown in Luria-Bertani supplemented with 30 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 54 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol. At OD₆₀₀ of 0.4, 0.75 mM FeCl₃ was added and cell growth proceeded for an additional 18 to 24 h at 23°C. The truncated At-NEET or H89C mutant proteins were purified from lysed cells using Ni-agarose and size exclusion chromatography as previously described (Conlan et al., 2009c; 2011; Zuris et al., 2010). Human Miner1 and mitoNEET were expressed and purified as described by Paddock et al. (2007) and Conlan et al. (2009b).

Optical Spectroscopy and Stability Measurements

All UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured from the near UV to the near IR (250 to 700 nm) on a Cary50 spectrometer (Varian) equipped with a temperature-controlled cell (T = 35°C) (protein concentration 10 to 20 µM in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 100 mM NaCl). Stability of the 2Fe-2S cluster of At-NEET was determined by monitoring its characteristic absorbance near 460 nm as a function of time following dilution of the protein into the assay buffer (~20 µM protein in 100 mM buffer at 35°C). The following buffers were used at the pH indicated: Tris-HCl at pH 7.1 and 6.8, and bis-Tris-HCl at pH 6.3, 5.8, and 5.3. Buffers and protein were filtered prior to the start of the measurement, and a layer of 100% paraffin oil was placed over the solution to minimize evaporation. The half-life, corresponding to decay by 50%, was determined at each pH.

Redox Measurements

The redox state of At-NEET was determined by monitoring the absorbance near 460 nm with 100 µM protein in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 100 mM NaCl at 25°C using a quartz cuvette containing a side arm under positive argon pressure (Airgas-West). An Ag/AgCl dual reference and working electrode (Microelectrodes) was used to measure the ambient redox potential (its calibration was checked using quinhydrone at pH 4.0 and 7.0 as recommended), pH-buffered dithionite (50 mM; Fisher Scientific) was added via a Hamilton syringe to adjust the redox potential. Ubiquinone (50 µM; Sigma-Aldrich), 50 µM duroquinone (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 µM menadione (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 µM naphthaquinone (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to ensure efficient electron transfer between the solution components and the electrode. The midpoint redox potential (Eₘ) was determined from a fit of the fraction oxidized to the Nernst equation (A=Aₒ/1 + 10^[ (Eₘ – E)/ (n/59.1 mV)]) using the graphing program OriginLab, where Aₒ is the absorbance of the fully oxidized sample, Eₘ is the midpoint potential in mV, E is the measured cell potential in mV, n is the number of electrons being transferred in the redox reaction, and Aₘ₉ₒ is the lowest absorbance level corresponding to the fully reduced 2Fe-2S center in either native or mutant proteins. Potentials were adjusted to standard hydrogen electrode for presentation. Samples were tested for their ability to reoxidize upon exposure to ambient oxygen.

Cluster Transfer Optical Spectroscopy Assay

Absorption spectra were recorded at 400 to 650 nm (CARY, 300Bic; Varian) with a temperature control apparatus set to 37°C. Special attention was given to changes in 458-nm absorbance (At-NEET signature [2Fe-2S] absorbance peak) vis-à-vis the 423-nm peak characteristic of the [2Fe-2S] cluster in Fd. Kinetic data were obtained by taking the ratio of the 423 peak to the 458 peak over time. Data were then normalized and fit to a single exponential. Kinetic measurements were performed using equimolar concentrations of mNTH (the wild type and mutants) and aFd in the presence of 2% β-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM EDTA, and 5 mM sodium dithionite. The aFd was preincubated for 30 min prior to the start of the experiment with the reducing agents. Decays were performed at 37°C and determined by monitoring loss of the 458-nm peak with time.

Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Solution of At-NEET and the H89C Mutant

Crystallization assays were initially conducted using the Oryx6 automatic crystallization system (Douglas Instruments) using the microbatch method. Commercial crystallization screens (index screen of Hampton Research) (Jancarik and Kim, 1991; D’Arcy et al., 1996) were employed and set at 4 and 20°C. Crystals appeared within 2 d under two crystallization conditions consisting either of 50 mM ZnCl₂ and 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 or 30% Jeffamine ED-2001 and 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, where the protein solution contained 33 mg/mL protein, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 0.02% NaN₃ at both temperatures. Crystallization conditions were further refined using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 4°C. The 4-mL drop consisted of equal amounts of protein solution (33 mg/mL) and a reservoir solution containing 100 mM ZnCl₂ and 20% PEG 3350. Crystals appeared within a day and reached the final size of 0.3 mm in the longest dimension within 2 d. Prior to data collection, crystals were cryoprotected in a solution containing 20% ethylene glycol and the reservoir solution and immediately flash cooled.

Crystallographic data were collected at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility beamline ID29 (ω = 0.92 Å) on a PILATUS 6M charge-coupled device detector with an oscillation range of 1.0° at a temperature of 100K. Data were integrated and scaled using the HKL2000 suite (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The crystals belonged to the orthorhombic P2₁2₁2₁ space group, with unit cell parameters a = 4.16 Å, b = 60.1 Å, and c = 66.4 Å with two molecules in the asymmetric unit (see Supplemental Table 1 online).

Crystals of the At-NEETH89C mutant were obtained using the microbatch method using the Oryx6 automatic crystallization system and commercial crystal screens (index screen, Crystal ScreenHT, SaltRx HT, and PEGRxHT of Hampton Research). Crystals appeared within 2 d under conditions containing equal amounts of protein solution (76 mg/mL, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 0.02% NaN₃) and a solution containing 30% PEG 6000 and 0.1 M Bis Tris propane, pH 9.0, at 20°C. Prior to freezing, cryosolution containing 20% ethylene glycol and the crystallization solution was added and mounted directly from the 96-well plate and immediately flash frozen. Crystallographic data were collected at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility beamline ID14-4 (λ = 0.932 Å) on
HEK293 cells were labeled for 15 min at 37°C with 1 μM RPA and/or 0.1 μM CALG-acetoxymethyl ester or 0.25 μM RPC in DMEM medium containing 10 mM Na-HEPES and supplemented with 10 μM desferrioxamine to prevent quenching of the probe by contaminant Fe from the medium. After washing with DMEM-HEPES medium and HBS, the cells were permeabilized in HBS buffer (37°C HEPES-buffered saline, pH 7.4) for 180 s with 25 μM digitonin (Nishikawa et al., 1984). The permeabilized cells were washed with permeabilization buffer (100 mM KCl, 5 mM Na3HPO4, Eagle’s minimal essential medium–amine acids mix diluted 1:500, 10 mM HEPES, 1 μM CaCl2, and 1 mM MgSO4, pH 7.2) and used for fluorescence microscopy measurements in permeabilization buffer containing 1 mM succinate. For CALG (480-nm excitation; 520-nm emission) (Espósito et al., 2002; Glickstein et al., 2006) and RPA (560-nm excitation; 610-nm emission) (Petrat et al., 2002; Rauen et al., 2003), as described elsewhere (Espósito et al., 2002; Glickstein et al., 2005, 2006). Desferrioxamine (1 μM) was present in all solutions during permeabilization and fluorescence measurements to prevent RPA quenching by contaminant Fe. Following the recording of a 6-min baseline, 10 to 50 μM At-NEET (the wild type or the H89C mutant) was added, and changes in fluorescence were recorded for 20 min at 37°C followed by addition of labile Fe-FHQ (5 μM FQ [FeCl3]8-hydroxyquinoline) 1:1 complex) so as to attain maximal quenching. The sequence of fluorescence images acquired by microscopy was analyzed by the Image J program (National Institutes of Health) (Abramoff et al., 2004). ROS imaging and quantification in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings were performed as described (Luhua et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2009).

Plant Growth, Transformation, and Molecular Analysis

Arabidopsis cv Columbia plants were grown under controlled conditions at 21°C, 100 μmol m−2 s−1 (Luhua et al., 2008), and monitored for growth, flowering time, and senescence as described (Suzuki et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2007). Plants were watered daily with Spalding’s solution (Spalding et al., 1999) or with Spalding’s solution supplemented with Fe (FeCl3) to 100×. RNA and protein were isolated and analyzed by gel blot analysis and quantitative PCR as described (Miller et al., 2007, 2009). cDNAs for mitoNEET and At-NEET were obtained as described above and cloned in frame with GFP (C-terminal fusion) into a modified pGreen vector (Luhua et al., 2008). Spel and Xhol were used to clone At-NEET and Hs-mitoNEET into GFP vector using the primers described in Supplemental Table 2 online. GFP, or GFP fused in frame to the C terminus of the NEET proteins, was expressed in plants under the control of the 3SS CaMV promoter (Luhua et al., 2008). Transgenic plants were generated using the floral dip method, and homozygous lines were selected using hygromycin resistance and RNA and protein blots (Luhua et al., 2008). GFP was visualized in transgenic plants using a Nikon Eclipse E400 epifluorescence microscope or an Olympus IX 81 FL 1000 confocal microscope as described (Luhua et al., 2008). Mitotrackr was used to image mitochondria in roots (see Supplemental Figure 5 online). Knockdown lines for At-NEET (SALK_040013 and SALK_040019) were obtained, isolated, and characterized using RNA and protein blots as described (Miller et al., 2007). RNAi vectors for At-NEET were generated by cloning the entire At-NEET open reading frame without the start and stop codons into a pHANNIBAL vector (CSIRO Plant Industry). The hairpin construct was then mobilized into a pGreen vector and expressed under the control of the 3SS CaMV promoter. HindIII, XbaI, KpnI, and Xhol were used to build the At-NEET hairpin RNAi cassette in pKANNIBAL, and Xhol and SacI were used to clone the hairpin RNAi cassette into pGgreen binary vector, using the primers described in Supplemental Table 2 online. Homozygous lines were then selected on hygromycin and tested by protein blots. Plant transformation was conducted as described (Luhua et al., 2008).

a Q315R ADSC charge-coupled device detector with an oscililation range of 1.0° at a temperature of 100K. Data were integrated and scaled using the HKL2000 suite (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The crystals belonged to the orthorhombic P212121 space group, with unit cell parameters a = 33.3 Å, b = 54.1 Å, and c = 72.7 Å with two molecules in the asymmetric unit.

The structure of wild-type At-NEET was solved via molecular replacement methods using MOLREP (Vagin and Teplyakov, 2010) implemented in CCP4i (Potterson et al., 2003) at a resolution range of 44.5 to 3.5 Å using the atomic coordinates of the human mitoNEET (PDB code 2Q47) (Paddock et al., 2007) as search model after removing all solvent molecules. The solution resulted in R_free of 49.9% and correlation coefficient of 45.2%. After 10 cycles of restrained refinement in REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997), the R_free converged to 35.9% (R_free = 38.9%). The structure was fitted into electron density maps using the graphics program Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and was initially mutated into the correct amino acids. In this regard, the sequence identity between the mitoNEET and At-NEET is 33.3% for the full-length proteins and 67% in the cluster binding domain, leaving 33 substitutions and a single insertion to be conducted. The structure was further refined using REFMACS restrained refinement with the maximum likelihood option, and solvent molecules were added using ARP/WARP (Morris et al., 2003).

The structure of the At-NEETH89C mutant was solved via molecular replacement methods using PHASER (Read and McCoy, 2011) at a resolution range of 44.5 to 3.5 Å using the coordinates of the refined wild-type At-NEET as the search model after removing solvent molecules. The search resulted in an RFZ (for rotation function Z) value of 8.7 (TFZ [for translation function Z] value = 13.8), indicating a valid solution, which was then shown to pack well without any clashes. The model was then refined using REFMAC5 restrained option, and solvent molecules were added using ARP/WARP (Morris et al., 2003).

[2Fe-2S] Cluster Transfer in Vitro Assay

At-NEET (the wild type or the H89C mutant) (1 mg/mL) was incubated (in a rolling shaker) with the apo-acceptor protein probe, aFd (1 mg/mL), obtained as described by Fish et al. (2005). The NEET and aFd were incubated in the presence of 2% mercaptoethanol, 5 mM Na-dithionite, and 5 mM EDTA for the specified time lengths (5 to 120 min). Transfer of the [2Fe-2S] cluster from NEET to aFd was analyzed using absorption spectroscopy and native-PAGE using 15% acrylamide precast gels according to Laemmli (1970).

Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney cells (line HEK293) were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Biological Industries) supplemented with 1% antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin, and amphotericin), 1% Glut, and 10% fetal calf serum. A day before experimentation, the cells were washed with PBS and then detached from plates with 1 mM trypsin-ethylenediamine tetraacetatic acid. The cells were diluted to an optimal density of 1 to 2 million cells per plate (3-cm perforated plate with microscopic slides attached). The cells were further grown for additional 24 h and subjected to epifluorescence imaging on a Zeiss Axiovert 35 microscope(Carl Zeiss) attached to a Polychrome V image system (Till Photonics) (Glickstein et al., 2005).
Elemental analysis was performed with a Varian 820-ICP-MS as described by Baxter et al. (2008).

Stress Assays

For the analysis of stress tolerance, seeds of the wild type, two independent homozygous knockdown lines, and five independent RNAi lines for At-NEET were surface sterilized with bleach and placed in rows on 1% agar plates (0.5× Murashige and Skoog [MS] medium), containing different concentrations of pararuff, l-butyl hydroperoxide, NaCl, or sorbitol (Sigma-Aldrich) as described (Luhua et al., 2008). Each row of seeds (25 to 30 seedlings) placed on a plate was divided into three parts: control seeds and seeds of the two independent knockdown lines. Thus, the different seeds were placed side by side on the same plate. Plates were maintained vertically in a growth chamber (21 to 22°C, constant light, 60 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and percentage of germination and root length were scored 5 d after seed plating. Four- or five-day-old seedlings grown on 0.5× MS agar plates were also subjected to heat stress (38°C; 24 h) or cold stress (10°C; 48 h) and scored for percentage of germination and root length as described by Luhua et al. (2008). For tolerance to Fe, wild-type and knockdown lines were germinated on plates containing 0.5× MS without Fe or 0.5× MS containing 0.1 mM (100%), 0.3 mM (300%), or 0.4 mM (400%) FeSO₄·7H₂O. All experiments were repeated at least three different times, each with at least three different technical repeats. Results are shown as mean and ±SE. Statistical analysis was performed as described (Suzuki et al., 2005).

Greening Assays

Seeds of the wild type, two independent homozygous knockdown lines, and five independent RNAi lines for At-NEET were surface sterilized with bleach and placed in rows on 1% agar plates (0.5× MS medium) containing 1% Suc. Following 48 h chilling at 4°C, plates were placed at 22°C in complete darkness for 1 week to obtain etiolated seedlings. To follow growth, etiolated seedlings were exposed to continuous light (150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C for 24 h. At specified times, total chlorophyll concentration was determined following an 80% acetone extractions (Yalovsky et al., 1992).

Chloroplast and Mitochondrion Isolation

About 120 g of seedlings were ground in a Waring blender (five pulses of 15 s each) in grinding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.33 M d-mannitol, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM EDTA, and 2.5 mM Na-ascorbate; Schwitzguebel and Siegenthaler, 1984). The ground material was filtered through five layers of cloth and centrifuged for 3 min at 1500g. The obtained pellet, which contained the chloroplasts, was kept on ice, and the supernatant that contained the mitochondria was further centrifuged at 20,000g for 8 min. The chloroplast and mitochondrial pellets were gently suspended in 30 mL of washing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 0.25 M Suc, and 0.2% BSA). The solutions were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500g, and the resulting supernatants were further centrifuged at 20,000g. The resulting pellets (crude chloroplasts/crude mitochondria) were each suspended in 2 mL of washing buffer and loaded onto separate Percoll gradients (prepared in washing buffer in Corex 15-mL glass tubes). For isolation of intact mitochondria, the Percoll gradient contained three layers: 4 mL 70% Percoll, 6 mL 27% Percoll, and 4 mL 21% Percoll. The gradients for isolation of intact chloroplasts contained 4 mL 70% Percoll, 6 mL 50% Percoll, and 4 mL 30% Percoll. About 1 to 1.5 mL of the suspended pellets was loaded on each gradient, and they were centrifuged for 30 min at 9000g. The intact mitochondria were obtained in the interphase between the 60% and 27% Percoll, and the intact chloroplasts were at the interphase between 70 and 50% Percoll. Each fraction was collected, diluted into 30 mL of washing buffer, and pelleted at 20,000g. The resulting pellets were suspended in minimal washing buffer.

Other Methods

Antibodies against the purified At-NEET were prepared as described by Miller et al. (2007) and used at a dilution of 1:250. Antibodies for VDAC, PsAD, and Hs-mitoNEET were purchased from Agrisera or obtained as described (Zuris et al., 2011). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford (1976) and by spectroscopic methods using the 458-nm absorption peak characteristic of At-NEET and its extinction coefficient of 5000 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹. Proteins were separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels using 15% acrylamide gels according to Laemmli (1970). Native gel electrophoresis was performed according to Wittig and Schlägger (2005).

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: At-NEET, At5g51720, pdb ID code 3S2Q; mitoNEET, CSD1, CDGSH Fe-S domain 1 (Homo sapiens), gene ID 55847; Miner1, CSD2, CDGSH Fe-S domain 2 (Homo sapiens), gene ID 493856.
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